

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,937	08/04/2003	Jack J. Johnson	33472/1	5798
75	90 08/23/2006		EXAM	INER
Geophonic Networks Inc			BORISSOV, IGOR N	
158 Chateau Th				
Madison Av, NJ 07940			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3639	
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/633,937	JOHNSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Igor Borissov	3639				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 At</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-95 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-35 and 49-95 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 36-48 are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	5) U Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) IGOR N. BORISSOV ate PRIMARY EXAMINER atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:						

Art Unit: 3639

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Preliminary Note**

In a Petition to Make Special of 1/15/2004 the Applicant made a preliminary election designating Claims 1-35 and 49-95 as currently pending in the application. Accordingly, Claims 36-48 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

**Claim 1** recites the limitation "the first bidding data" in line 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 2, 21 and 37 recite the following limitation: "in which at least one customer includes at least one end user". However, the independent Claims 1, 20 and 36, which Claims 2, 21 and 37 depend on, do not require the presence of the customer, thereby making Claims 2, 21 and 37 confusing.

Claim 20 recites the following limitation: "c. in *the* moderating computer of the first control computer". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the Claim.

The remaining Claims are rejected as being dependent on the rejected Claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/633,937

Art Unit: 3639

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 9, 10, 12-17, 19-25, 27, 29-31, 33-35, 49-57, 59, 61-66, 68-72, 74, 75, 77-90 and 92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sibley, Jr. (US 4,677,552).

### Independent Claims

Sibley, Jr. (Sibley) teaches a method and system for international commodity trade exchange, comprising:

### Claims 1, 36 and 86,

receiving offers in the central exchange host (moderating computer) to provide and receive oil or gasoline (Fig. 8), processing said offers in accordance with auction rules, and storing processed data (C. 3, L. 4-15; C. 5, L. 52-60);

transmitting at least a portion of said processed data to at least a portion of participants of the auction (C. 12, L. 14-18);

determining a winner (participating in the auction indicates "designating" step) (C. 12, L. 14-18).

While Sibley teach bidding for providing oil and gasoline, Sibley does not specifically teach electric power or natural gas. However, it is old and well known that electric power or natural gas as well as oil and gasoline are used as energy source. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in art the time the invention was made to modify Sibley to include that said bids for providing energy include bids for providing electric power or natural gas, because it would advantageously allow participants to choose a source of energy which is the most suitable for a particular geographical area.

#### Claims 20 and 68.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,937

Art Unit: 3639

receiving offers in the central exchange host (moderating computer) to provide oil or gasoline (Fig. 8), processing said offers in accordance with auction rules, and storing processed data (C. 3, L. 4-15; C. 5, L. 52-60);

transmitting at least a portion of said processed data to a local exchange computer (first control computer) (C. 6, L. 30-33);

transmitting at least a portion of said processed data from the local exchange computer to at least a portion of participants of the auction (C. 12, L. 14-18);

determining a winner (participating in the auction indicates "designating" step) (C. 12, L. 14-18).

While Sibley teach bidding for providing oil and gasoline, Sibley does not specifically teach electric power or natural gas. However, it is old and well known that electric power or natural gas as well as oil and gasoline are used as energy source.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in art the time the invention was made to modify Sibley to include that said bids for providing energy include bids for providing electric power or natural gas, because it would advantageously allow participants to choose a source of energy which is the most suitable for a particular geographical area.

# <u>Dependent Claims</u>

Claims 2, 21, 37 and 50. Said method and system, wherein the at least one customer includes at least one user (C. 3, L. 4-15). Sibley does not specifically teach that said user is the *end* user. However, the method steps disclosed are not affected who the user is. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sibley to include that said user is the *end* user, because it would advantageously allow to expand the audience of traders, thereby increase revenue.

Claims 3, 22, and 51. See reasoning applied to Claims 2, 21 and 37.

Claims 4, 23, 53 and 88. Conducting a trade of energy via the auction indicates ability to purchase (designate supplier) energy from a plurality of energy providers (participants of the auction).

Application/Control Number: 10/633,937

Art Unit: 3639

Claims 5, 13, 14, 16, 24, 29, 30, 54, 62, 63, 65, 69, 78-80 and 89. Conducting a trade of energy via the auction indicates ability to supply the contracted volume of energy.

Claims 6, 15, 25, 31, 55, 64 and 90. Said method and system wherein the auction rules include bid formulation requirements specifying the required elements that must be reflected in the bid for the moderating computer to consider the bid valid (conducting the auction under the U. S. trading rules enforced by the Securities and Exchange Commission) (C. 5, L. 52-60).

Claims 7, 56 and 71. Said method, in which the bid formulation requirements specify that all bids indicate the quantity of energy and a specific price (C. 7, L. 66-67).

Claims 9, 12, 59, 61, 77 and 92. See reasoning applied to Claim 1.

Claims 10, 27 and 75. Receiving decision rules and processing at least a portion of the first bidding data and the decision rules, and designating at least a first energy provider (C. 3, L. 4-15; C. 5, L. 52-60).

**Claim 17**. Conducting a trade of energy via the auction indicates contracting for energy.

Claims 19, 34, 35. Said method and system in which at least a portion of the processing and communications functions of the central host (moderating computer) or the local exchange computer (first control computer) are performed by at least one adjunct computer (C. 6, L. 30-33).

Claim 33. See reasoning applied to Claim 20.

Claims 57 and 72. See reasoning applied to Claims 49 and 86.

Claims 52 and 87. See reasoning applied to Claims 49 and 86.

Claim 74. See reasoning applied to Claim 68.

Claims 81 and 83-85. See reasoning applied to Claim 68.

Claims 66 and 82. Sibley teaches all the limitations of claim 66, except specifically teaching that said provider is default provider. However, the method steps disclosed are not affected who the provider is. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sibley

Application/Control Number: 10/633,937 Page 6

Art Unit: 3639

to include that said provider is default provider, because it would advantageously allow to expand the audience of traders, thereby increase revenue.

Claims 8, 18, 26, 32, 58, 67, 73 and 93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sibley in view of Ausubel (US 6,026,383).

# Dependent Claims

Claims 8, 18, 26, 32, 58,67, 73 and 93. Sibley teaches all the limitations of Claims 8, 18, 26, 32 and 93, except specifically teaching transmitting historical energy usage data associated with the at least one reseller, or with the at least one customer, to at least a portion of the plurality of energy providers.

Ausubel teaches a method and system for conducting a dynamic auction for multiple objects, wherein participants receive bidding history information regarding other participants (C. 9, L. 22-28).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in art the time the invention was made to modify Sibley to include transmitting historical energy usage data associated with the at least one reseller, or with the at least one customer, to at least a portion of the plurality of energy providers, as disclosed in Ausubel, because it would advantageously allow the participants to estimate each other's demand or supply curve which is needed for making trading decisions, as specifically stated in Ausubel (C. 3, L. 53-65).

Claims 11, 28, 60, 76 and 91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sibley in view of Mistr, Jr. (US 5,794,212).

### Dependent Claims

Claims 11, 28, 60, 76 and 91. Sibley teaches all the limitations of Claims 11, 28 and 43, except specifically teaching notifying a first DISCO (distributor) serving the at least one reseller, or serving the at least one customer, of the designation of at least a first designated provider.

Art Unit: 3639

Mistr, Jr. (Mistr) teaches a method and system for conducting transactions between energy suppliers, buyers and transmission suppliers (distributors), wherein information regarding said energy transaction is communicated to all parties (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in art the time the invention was made to modify Sibley to include notifying a distributor serving the at least one reseller, or serving the at least one customer regarding energy transaction, as disclosed in Mistr, because it would advantageously allow to identify and analyze the available transmission paths, thereby providing cost-efficient movement of energy, as specifically stated in Mistr (C. 4, L. 55-58).

Claim 94 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sibley in view of Witek et al. (US 6,253,188).

# Dependent Claim

Claim 94. Sibley teaches all the limitations of claims 94, including using the trade exchange over the wide area network, except explicitly teaching that said distributing includes posting the processed request on a website or computer bulletin board accessible to the providers.

Witek et al. (Witek) teaches a method for distributing or processed data, wherein said data is posted on a Web page (posing of selected ads in a designated section of a Web newspaper) (See: Summary).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sibley to include that said distributing includes posting the processed request on a website or computer bulletin board accessible to the providers, as disclosed in Witek, because it would advantageously allow to present said data to a plurality of users simultaneously.

Claim 95 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sibley in view of Geller et al. (US 6,300,948).

Application/Control Number: 10/633,937 Page 8

Art Unit: 3639

# Dependent Claim

**Claim 95.** Sibley teaches all the limitations of claims 95, except specifically teaching that said bids are received by means of a software defined template.

Geller et al. (Geller) teaches a method for creating and using a user interface, wherein information from the user is obtained via a software defined template (c. 21, L. 8-21).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sibley to include that said bids are received by means of a software defined template, as disclosed in Geller, because it would advantageously allow to automate processing of data, thereby save time.

Art Unit: 3639

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure (see form PTO-892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Igor Borissov whose telephone number is 571-272-6801. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on 571-272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

IB 8/09/2006